Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,138	MARKLING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hanh V. Tran	3637	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Non-Final</u>	
(1) <u>Hanh V. Tran</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Douglas Tschida.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 October 2007</u>	Time: <u>12:10</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		
Part I.	•		
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substant of the interview, since the interv	nce
•			
-	·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to determine whether or not a response to the Office action mailed on 4/4/07 has been filed. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that no such response has been filed; thus, the application is to go abandoned.